

Lessons Learned from U.S. Port Policy

By

Joan M. Bondareff

Of Counsel

Blank Rome LLP

Washington, D.C.

Bondareff@blankrome.com

(202) 772-5911

June 18, 2014



Outline

- Does the U.S. have a national port policy? If so, what is it?
- Legislative Accomplishments
 - Water Resources, Reform and Development Act of 2014 (Pub. L. 113-121)
 - Pending Legislation MAP-21 Reauthorization Act (S. 2322)
- Strategic Ports and Role in U.S. Port Policy
- Funding Mechanisms and Success Stories
 - TIGER and EPA grants
 - Property conveyances



Does the U.S. Have a National Port Policy? Should It?

- 341 ocean and inland ports in the U.S
- For the most part, all ports are treated alike
- No single U.S. G. agency is in charge of port policy
 - U.S. Coast Guard safety and security;
 - U.S. Customs & Border Protection trade and security;
 - **U.S.** Maritime Administration port promotion;
 - **U.S.** Army Corps of Engineers port dredging;

Environmental Protection Agency – environmental rules & regulations;

FEMA/DHS – port security grants



Does the U.S. Have a National Port Policy? Should It?

Without an agency in charge, how can we have a comprehensive port policy?



Ad Hoc Approach and Legislative Accomplishments

- Agencies have their FY14 budgets and are awarding grants and issuing contracts
- Congress has passed, finally, a major piece of legislation to fund 34 dredging, flood control, hurricane recovery and environmental projects that enable ports to accommodate so-called post-Panamax vessels (WRRDA)



Approach & Accomplishments (Cont'd)

- •Main accomplishments:
 - Increase funding on ports from The Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund (HMTF);
 - Allocates 10% of annual HMTF expenditures on "Underserved", emerging ports;
 - Authorizes 34 projects that have completed technical review by The Army Corps of Engineers;
 - Streamlines The ACOE environmental review process (limits to three years and three levels of review);
 - Deauthorizes \$18B of old, inactive projects, and
 - Creates a new finance program called WIFIA



Pending Legislative Actions - 113th Congress

- MAP-21 Reauthorization Act of 2014 (S. 2322) (Highway Funding)
 - Reported by The Senate Environment and Public Works
 Committee on May 16, 2014
 - Contains a title establishing a new National Freight Program
 - Working with Senate Commerce Committee to include ports in the freight program
 - No clear financing mechanism. Increase the gas tax? Not this year.



Strategic Ports – What are they and what do they do?

- U.S. Department of Defense has designated 22 U.S. seaports as "Strategic"
- Strategic ports are close to U.S. military facilities and can be made available on 48 hours notice to transport military cargo and personnel during times of war and national emergency
- The U.S. Maritime Administration administers The Strategic Port Program and coordinates the movement of troops and material with commercial cargo movements
- Strategic ports have priority in DOD shipments but not necessarily for funding



Funding Mechanisms & Success Stories

- Funding available to U.S. ports:
 - Port security grants (DHS) -- \$100M in FY2014;
 - **TIGER grants** (DOT) -- ports can compete for some of the \$600M in funding for intermodal projects of regional or national significance;
 - **DERA grants** (EPA) -- \$9M to replace marine diesel engines and related port equipment;
 - ACOE dredging still has to be appropriated from the HMTF and matched with public/private funds; and
 - Port Infrastructure Development Fund (MARAD)
 - no funds available



- •A port applied for and received a \$10M TIGER grant to build a new harbor below Lock #27 on the MS River
- •The same port applied for and received 752 acres and property from a closed Army base adjacent to the existing port and was able to convert the base into a major, new port facility with public and private tenants



- •A private tenant in a port received a port security grant from DHS/FEMA and was able to purchase new radio equipment
- •An owner of a fleet of passenger vessels operating in several U.S. ports received DERA grants from EPA and was able to replace its old diesel engines with new ones reducing harmful emissions



■WRRDA – when the tap is open, many U.S. ports will benefit from dredging, flood protection, and restoration



- •But the U.S. is still lagging in paying for critical infrastructure
 - See ASCE 2013 Report: "Failure to Act The Economic Impact of Current Investment Trends in Airports, Inland Waterways, and Marine Ports Infrastructure"
 - Rated the U.S. a D+ in funding public infrastructure and a shortfall of \$3.6T by 2020



Summary

- •U.S. port policy is ad hoc, multi-headed, and disjointed but seems to work
- Ports do have support from many federal programs if they can navigate the rules and regulations
- WRRDA passage is a major accomplishment and a step in the right direction
- •If MAP-21 Reauthorization includes a funding mechanism for a new National Freight Program that recognizes the important role of ports in our freight policy, ports can benefit



Summary

"Follow our successes, not our failures."

Joan M. Bondareff
Of Counsel
Blank Rome LLP
Washington, D.C.
Bondareff@blankrome.com
(202) 772-5911

